	K4S6WEIC	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	x	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	V •	20CR188(JSR)
5	RUBEN WEIGAND and HAMID AKHAVEN,	
6	Defendants.	
7	x	
8	A	Nov. Vonlt N V
9		New York, N.Y. April 28, 2020
10		2:00 p.m.
11	Before:	
12	HON. JED S. RAKOF	FF,
13		District Judge
14		
15	APPEARANCES GEOFFREY S. BERMAN	
16	United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York	
17	TARA LA MORT CHRISTOPHER DIMASE	
18	NICHOLAS FOLLY Assistant United States Attorneys	
19	DAVID CHESNOFF	
20	Attorney for Defendant Akhaven	
21	ANDREW LEVANBER MICHAEL ARTAN	
22	MICHAEL GILBERT Attorneys for Defendant Weigand	
23	<u> </u>	
24		
25		

1 (Telephone Conference)

LAW CLERK: We now have Judge Rakoff on the line.

THE COURT: This is Judge Rakoff.

Counsel, please identify themselves for the record.

MS. LAMORTE: Good afternoon. This is Tara LaMorte for the government. With me on the line AUSAs Christopher

DiMase and Nicholas Folly and we also have FBI Special Agents

Matthew Mahaffey and.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Can you spell the FBI agents' last names.

MS. LAMORTE: Matthew Mahaffey, M-a-h-a-f-f-e-y; and Ronald Shimko, S-h-i-m-k-o.

MR. CHESNOFF: Good morning, your Honor. David
Chesnoff appearing on behalf of Mr. Akhaven, who is present on
the telephone in California. I am in Las Vegas. I have
arranged, your Honor, to have a colleague of mine in Los
Angeles by the name of Stephanie Aims be there with Mr. Akhaven
with a separate phone in case he has some questions for me and
he can call me privately. I am not assuming that that is going
to occur, but I did it as a precaution.

THE COURT: That's very good.

MR. ARTAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. This is

Andrew Levanber, and on the phone with me are Michael Gilbert

of Decker and Michael Artan. We represent the defendant,

Mr. Weigand, and Mr. Weigand is also on the phone. Due to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

circumstances, we cannot have not somebody standing with him to confer.

THE COURT: Right. He's in jail.

So thank you all for calling --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Judge, you are a little bit hard to hear. I don't know if there is a volume--

THE COURT: I will see if I can do anything about that. Hold on.

Is that better?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That is better.

THE COURT: So of course this proceeding is not being taken in open court because of the coronavirus situation, but both defendants are present telephonically.

Let me first ask counsel for each defendant whether they have gone over the indictment with their respective clients.

MR. CHESNOFF: This is David Chesnoff, and Mr. Akhaven has reviewed the indictment and he would waiver a reading of it.

MR. ARTAN: Your Honor, this is Michael Artan. I have gone over the indictment with Mr. Weigand and we also waive reading of it.

THE COURT: Very good.

I assume that both defendants wish a plea of not guilty to be entered at this time; is that correct?

MR. CHESNOFF: That is correct for Mr. Akhaven, your Honor.

MR. ARTAN: That is correct for Mr. Weigand.

THE COURT: How long does the government want to complete discovery?

MS. LAMORTE: Your Honor, you had given us a deadline of today to complete discovery, and where we are now is discovery is largely complete. We have limited additional discovery going out today and then beyond that we have two sort of tranches of electronic evidence that still needs to be produced. Those sets of electronic data are — my understanding they are currently being burned onto drives. It has been a little bit slow for obvious reasons. I believe if we had an additional two weeks to complete everything that that would be sufficient.

THE COURT: I will give you two weeks from today to complete discovery with no further adjournments. Today is April 28 and so I that would be May 12th.

I can't remember whether I previously set a date, but how long after the completion of discovery would defense counsel want for the making of any motions?

MR. ARTAN: Your Honor, I haven't had a chance to confer with other counsel; but we would propose sort of a two-step process. I think the first step would be a bill of particulars some time after the discovery is complete and then

after the bill of particulars are applied to or enforced or whatever, grant a certain amount of time after that to file motions.

MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Akhaven, we understand the discovery is quite voluminous. I am not even sure the number of terabytes. I suggest that the Court allow us an opportunity to index and get our arms wrapped around the discovery so that we can give you an intelligent estimation of how long it is going to take to review and what that leads to in terms of motions.

THE COURT: So you don't understand my practice. I do not ever enter into that kind of open-ended arrangement. We set firm dates and they are firmly enforced. It doesn't mean I won't give you a greater and more extended period than I normally would, but we can inquire now as to anything you need to know about the nature the discovery. The government I am sure can tell you here on the record what it is.

As Mr. Levanber asked for a bill of particulars, I see no reason why. If you need particulars, tell me now what you want.

MR. LEVANBER: I will try to answer your question.

The first one is that the indictment can tell you what my client did. It says he was part of a conspiracy and tracks the language of the statute. It kind of describes the overall problem but doesn't articulate even generally what my client

did in furtherance of that conspiracy. Number one.

2 Number two--

THE COURT: Excuse me. Excuse me. Excuse me.

You are talking about bill of particulars. So it is of no use to the Court for you to tell me, It doesn't tell me exactly what he did. You are entitled to some information, probably more than what is in the indictment, and I usually grant bills of particulars but you are not entitled to every detail as you know.

MR. LEVANBER: I am not asking for that.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, this is--

THE COURT: Excuse me. Excuse me.

I will tell you what we'll do if all counsel are agreeable. The two defense counsel can confer and provide the Court with a written bill of particulars by Thursday of this week and the government can raise any objection to that as it involves the particular requests. A submission can be made to the Court by no later than noon on Saturday. I don't need oral argument on bill of particulars. I have had hundreds of them. So I will give you a ruling by the close of business on Monday and I will set a date for the government to give whatever particulars I order, which typically would be maybe two or three weeks after the ruling on the bill of particulars.

MS. LAMORTE: Your Honor, may I be heard on the schedule?

THE COURT: Yep.

MS. LAMORTE: The government would request and suggest that any bill of particulars wait until the completion of discovery. Oftentimes in the government's practice, we will respond to a motion for bills of particulars and alike by not only relying on the indictment but upon the discovery that has been produced, which often provides, especially in this case, substantial additional detail that may obviate the need for particulars altogether.

THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on.

I don't understand that because you know right now what discovery you have. You haven't yet had a chance to introduce it all because of logistical problems, but you know right this minute what discovery you are going to produce.

MS. LAMORTE: That's exactly right, your Honor. I just meant to say that once the defense has had an opportunity to have that discovery, it may obviate the need for a motion. That is what the government was suggesting. Of course we know what our discovery is.

THE COURT: I think the chances that the defendants will not move for a bill of particulars even after getting all discovery zero, and this normally would be rejected by me as just idle talk and having no practical meaning whatsoever, in light of all the unusual circumstances that we face, discovery can be completed by May 12th. The request for bill of

particulars must be made by May 14th. I don't want to hear that defense counsel won't have a chance to review all the discovery by that time because of course that is right that they will have enough of an idea to know what they will need for a bill of particulars. The government will respond to the bill of particulars on May 18th. I will rule on May 20th.

Now, let's set a date for any other motions.

MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I apologize for being presumptuous earlier. Would the Court give an indication of what a normal motion schedule is and then I can tell you what my request would be if that is fair with the Court?

THE COURT: Yeah. So normally in most cases I give two weeks after the completion of discovery. When discovery is voluminous as it is in this case, I normally give four weeks.

So I would propose four weeks.

MR. CHESNOFF: And would the Court be upset if counsel asked for six?

THE COURT: No, I wouldn't be upset. I am going to have to deny it, but I am not going to be upset.

MR. CHESNOFF: I will take the four, your Honor.

MR. LEVANBER: Your Honor, what I would propose is four weeks from May 20th.

THE COURT: Four weeks from May 20th because why?

MR. LEVANBER: For two reasons. The first is it is

very voluminous discovery; the second is those answers to bills

of particulars will educate us further as we're going through		
discovery; and third, this is an incredibly difficult situation		
for us. Our client is not only incarcerated, but in this super		
intensive case, the way we ask questions and try to get answers		
is impossible because I get, you know, a very short phone call		
and even in a public place when he calls. When Mr. Artan goes		
to visit him, it is through a plexiglass divider, which makes		
hearing really impossible and they have to yell at each other		
and you have to show him a document one page at a time up on		
the plexiglass and have Mr. Weigand yell back at him what the		
answer is that he has asked him after they communicate three		
times to try to make sure they understand the question and		
answer. So under those circumstances and given the entirety		
of		

THE COURT: On the one hand, I don't find that argument particularly persuasive because the motions for the most part will be matters of law rather than fact. Second, I am blessed here with very experienced counsel for both defendants who probably already have a fair notion of what motion they might or might not want to bring. Thirdly, if I agree to your request, it is in effect giving you six weeks.

Having said all that you have worn me down with your fatal blow, so I will give you four weeks from May 20th.

Let's see what that is -- June 17th.

MR. LEVANBER: Thank you, your Honor.

25

THE COURT: So those motions is June 17th. 1 How long does the government want to respond? 2 3 MS. LAMORTE: Your Honor, two weeks. 4 What are my choices, your Honor? Is it a multiple-choice question. 5 6 THE COURT: No. It is two weeks or two weeks, and you 7 very cleverly figured out the right answer. So we were at June 17th. So that is July 1st. 8 9 don't need reply papers, but I will need oral argument on any 10 such motions. We have the July 4th holiday somewhere in there. 11 So let's say for the oral argument, which I hope will be in 12 court but maybe telephonically and we'll just have to see what 13 the situation is then and I will advise in advance, but let's 14 say Monday, July 13th. 15 Linda, do we have anything else on that day? THE DEPUTY CLERK: We have a criminal trial. 16 17 THE COURT: Let's put it on for 4:00 p.m. New York 18 time on July 13th. Now, the one other thing that I think we should set is 19 20 the trial date itself. How long a trial does the government 21 anticipate assuming both defendants go to trial on all charges? 22 MS. LAMORTE: Your Honor, an estimate right now would 23 be approximately three weeks assuming both defendants are 24 going.

THE COURT:

Okay.

MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I mentioned to your clerk on the first call we had with the government and your chambers that I am presently engaged in a trial, which has been suspended but that the judge has indicated he is trying to figure out a manner to commence some time after May 26th, and we're going to be speaking to him again. As I explained in that initial call, this trial is a four-month trial. It's a homicide involving three different separate homicides with one as the charged crime. I brought that up early on so that the Court would be aware that that is occurring. I just wanted to present that to you, your Honor, so you were aware that I am presently engaged in a trial that is suspended.

THE COURT: Thank you for reminding me and that is very important.

MR. LEVANBER: Your Honor, I have trial dates. Things have gotten backed up and I have trials now in September, October and November.

THE COURT: So --

MR. ARTAN: Pardon me, your Honor. I have an August 4th trial with a two- to three-week estimate in the Central District of California.

THE COURT: So I'm willing given all those circumstances to put the trial off until December if which is much, much, much, much, much, much, much, much later than I normally would do; but if that is the desire of all parties, we

can pick a date in December.

MR. CHESNOFF: I am amenable to that, your Honor, and very appreciative.

MR. LEVANBER: That's acceptable. I would propose that we start as early in December as possible.

THE COURT: Why don't we start on Tuesday,

December 1st. I am quite confident we'll be done before the

Christmas holiday. Needless to say barring truly extraordinary

circumstances that date they will not be extended because that

is much longer than I would normally give.

Is there anything else that we have to take up today other than excluding time under the Speedy Trial Act?

MS. LAMORTE: Nothing from the government, your Honor.

MR. CHESNOFF: No. Thank you, your Honor.

MR. LEVANBER: We're okay.

THE COURT: So pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, I will exclude all time between now and December 1st finding that such time is necessary for the completion of discovery, the making and deciding of motions, the accommodation of counsel's complicated schedules, the special delays put in place by the coronavirus crisis and that for those and other reasons apparent in this transcript, in the best interest of justice in excluding such time substantially outweighs the interests of the public and defendants in a speedy trial.

Thank you all very much and I will look forward to

```
K4S6WEIC
      hopefully seeing you in July.
 1
 2
                 Bye-bye.
 3
                                          000
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```